## Cascaise06:06-04-668-66-04/SWD obcourrement nt 11.2 Filebol 122/1290/2000 6 Pag Page of 1 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KATHLEEN ESPINOSA, et al	CATHLEEN	ESPINOSA,	et al
--------------------------	----------	-----------	-------

Plaintiff(s).

CASE NO. 1: 06 04686 JSW

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Defendant(s).

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

## Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference, See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. :1-5)

## Private Process:

Private ADR (please identify process and provider)

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order reserring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)

other requested deadline 120 days from date of order referring case to mediation

Attorne for Defendant

Dated: December 20, 2006

DEC-13-2006 14:05

IT IS SO ORDERED

95%